

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.               | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/517,145                    | 12/07/2004       | Henrik Ryegard       | 43315-211142        | 6834             |
|                               | 7590 01/09/2008  |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| VENABLE LLP<br>P.O. BOX 34385 |                  | JEN, MINGJEN         |                     |                  |
|                               | N, DC 20043-9998 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ٠                             |                  |                      | 3664                |                  |
|                               |                  |                      |                     |                  |
|                               |                  |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                               |                  |                      | 01/09/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| ri .   |   |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|  | 10/517,145  | RYEGARD ET AL   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|  | lan Jen   | 3609  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |   |   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the second status of the s | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from . cause the application to become ABANDONE | N.<br>nely filed<br>the mailing date of this communication.<br>D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/0  | <u>9/2007</u> .   |   |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |   |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15 and 23-28</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15,23-28</u> is/are rejected.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  | er election requirement   |   |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 9)⊡ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 December 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |   |   |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |   |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/07/2004.  | 4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:  | Date  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3664

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15, 23-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Response to Amendment

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 15, 23-25,28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stoddard et al (US Pat No 6697681) and further in view of Ikeda et al (US Pat 6522949).

As per claim 15, Stoddard et al shows the control system wherein drive unit comprise one or more drives (Fig 1, Fig 2; Col 4, lines 10-67).

As per claim 23, Stoddard et al shows a control system for controlling the movements of at least two manipulators (Abstract, Fig 1; Col 1, lines 10 -46 where the manual motion of the robot is driven by electrical motor and computer program drive means), the control system comprising:

Art Unit: 3664

a main computer module configured to execute programs with instructions for movements of the at least two manipulators (Fig 1, Controller 30; Col 3, lines 24-37), the main computer module further comprising a power supply configured to supply power to the main computer module (Fig 1, Col 4, lines 10 - 33; Col 4, lines 40- 46); and at least two physically separate drive modules each comprising a drive unit that controls motors driving the movements of the at least two manipulators (Fig 1, Col 3, lines 25 - 50; Fig 2, Col 4, lines 10-50; Col 6, lines 15 - 50; Fig 1, Col 3, lines 25-34), a casing surrounding the drive module (Fig 1, Col 2, lines 23 -41 where each robot has its own control handle with respect to individual functions along placed in different location), a power supply configured to supply power to the drive module and control movements of the at least two manipulators (Fig 1, Col 4, lines 10 - 33; Col 4, lines 40-46, Col 3, lines 25-50), and an axis computer configured to provide control signals to the drive unit based on the orders from the main computer module (Fig 1, Col 3, lines 25 - 50 ; Col 3, lines 60 - Col 4, lines 30), wherein the main computer module is adapted to communicate with the drive modules (Fig 1, Col 3, lines 25 - 50; Col 3, lines 60 - Col 4, lines 30).

Stoddard et al does not show to plan movement paths, and to generate orders based on the movement paths, the main computer module comprising a casing surrounding the main computer module.

Ikeda et al shows plan movement paths (Fig 5, Fig 6; Col 4, lines 60 - Col 5, lines 50), and to generate orders based on the movement paths (Fig 7, Fig 8; Col 6, lines 5 - Col 7, lines 35), the main computer module comprising a casing surrounding the main computer module (Fig 1, 150; Fig 2, 19 where the computer module enclosed by casing).

Art Unit: 3664

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide movement paths and orders based on movement paths as taguth by Ikdea et al, to Stoddard et al, in order to provide a smooth running process while the industrial robot working under automated mode.

As per claim 24, Stoddard et al shows the main computer module is adapted to communicate with the drive modules via an Ethernet link (Col 3, lines 39-43; Col 4, lines 12-15).

As per claim 25, Stoddard et al shows each drive module is adapted to control one manipulator (Fig 1, Fig 2; Col 4, lines 10-67).

As per claim 28, Stoddard et sl shows a method for controlling at least two manipulators with a control system, the method comprising:

transmitting with the main computer module the orders for the at least two manipulators to at least two physically separate drive modules (Fig 1, Col 3, lines 25 - 50; Fig 2, Col 4, lines 10-50; Col 6, lines 15 - 50; Fig 1, Col 3, lines 25-34); providing with axis computers included in the at least two physically separate drive modules control signals to the drive unit based on the orders received from the main computer module (Fig 1, Col 3, lines 25 - 50; Fig 2, Col 4, lines 10-50; Col 6, lines 15 - 50; Fig 1, Col 3, lines 25- Col 4, lines 33); and driving with drive units included in the at least two physically separate drive modules motors driving the movements of the at least two manipulators (Fig 1, Col 2, lines 23 - 41; Col 4, lines 10-46; Col 3, lines 5 - Col 4, lines 5).

Art Unit: 3664

Ikeda et al shows plan movement paths (Fig 5, Fig 6; Col 4, lines 60 - Col 5, lines 50), and to generate orders based on the movement paths (Fig 7, Fig 8; Col 6, lines 5 - Col 7, lines 35), the main computer module comprising a casing surrounding the main computer module (Fig 1, 150; Fig 2, 19 where the computer module enclosed by casing).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide movement paths and orders based on movement paths as taguth by Ikdea et al, to Stoddard et al, in order to provide a smooth running process while the industrial robot working under automated mode.

4. Claims 26, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stoddard et al (US Pat No 6697681) and further in view of Ikeda et al (US Pat 6522949) and further in view of Matsumoto (US Pat No 6587749).

As per claim 26, Stoddard et al does not shows transformer module comprising a transformer, a casing surrounding the transformer module and a power supply.

Matsumoto shows transformer module comprising a transformer and casing surroundig transformer module (Col 2, lines 55 - 63; Col 3, lines 39-45; Fig 1, where voltage transformer 4, terminal block 5, switch 6 are surrounded by casing). Ikeda et al shows a casing for power supply (Fig 2, 19).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the casing for transformer module and power supply as taught by Matsumoto and Ikeda et al, respectively, to Stoddard et al, in order to provide a safety protection for the electrical safety in the industrial working environment.

Art Unit: 3664

As per claim 27, Stoddard et al shows a control module comprising a control panel of the control system (Col 3, lines 60 - Col 4, lines 30; Col 4, lines 50 - Col 5, lines 15), Stoddard et al does not show a casing surrounding the control module, and a power supply. Ikeda et al shows casing surrounding the control module, and a power supply (Fig 2, 15, 19).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the casing for transformer module and power supply as taught by Matsumoto and Ikeda et al, respectively, to Stoddard et al, in order to provide a safety protection for the electrical safety in the industrial working environment.

### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Niedermayr (US Pat No 4611296) shows a industrial robot device.

Brantmark et al (US Pat No 4888708) shows a control system for industrial robot.

Tsuchihashi et al (US Pat No 5404290) shows a industrial robot manipulator.

Onoue et al (US Pat No 6218802) shows a industrial robot system.

Shimogama et al (US Pat No 6374156) shows a robot control system.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 3664

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ian Jen whose telephone number is 571-270-3274. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00-5:00 (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Khoi Tran can be reached on 571-272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3664

Dec 17 2007

Jan Jen

Ian Jen

KHOI H. TRAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Khi W. la